

Open Ethics Compliance (OEC) Invention Disclosure

by

Felipe Ribeiro

Ambassador of Peace (Amb.P.)

operarioribeiro.gitbook.io

operarioribeiro@proton.me

Summary:

Open Ethics Compliance Database (OECDb)¹ is an open source archive of the online most respected organizations, policy charters, compliances, researches, heritages, opportunities, and tools concerning the implementation of open projects. The purpose of this invention disclosure is to allow for its priceless open knowledge commons to be researched, safeguarded, and promoted as the open heritage of humanity it is.

Open Ethics International Compliance (OEC) is the open science methodology I used to develop OEIDb through years of collaborative researches with the most respected institutions along communities worldwide. It was catalogued for the World Intellectual Property Organization (WIPO) and made public with the Quaker United Nations Office (QUNO) delegation in the Common Good Organization (CoGO) Congress during the United Nations Conference on Trade and Development (UNCTAD) eWeek World Summit on the Information Society (WSIS).

Keywords:

Open Justice, Open Ethics, Open Data, Open Science, Open Source Software, Open Heritage, Open Culture.

1. Open Ethics Compliance (OEC):

Open Ethics Compliance Database (OECDb) Based Compliance is an unique and unprecedented industrial procedural methodology for the public international law (PIL) rule of law (RoL) regulatory compliance of ethics-based processes that was presented for the World Intellectual Property Organization (WIPO) patent under the protocol 050365. Through the analysis of free libre open source solutions (FLOSS) present online under public domain or other open licenses. Through these official ethical documents from the most respectable international institutions it allows for countless foreseeable uses in every industrial ecosystem that requires ethical processes involving humans, alone or in relations, such as pacification, peacebuilding, peacekeeping, peacemaking, peace education, regulation, policymaking, open governance, humanitarian aid management, social solidarity economy (SSE) sustainable development, open data analysis, open science laboratorial assessment, open education, open heritage safeguard, library information science (LIS), ecomuseology, cultural diplomacy, interfaith diplomacy, multifaith religious education, welfare health improvement, projects management, green logistics, mental health psyche-social support (MHPSS), and computer algorithmic softwares regulation secure operational development (DevSecOps).

1 doi.org/10.7910/DVN/CVX1ZL.

1.1. Technical Fields:

- Nonviolence-Based Health Welfare Analysis and Consultancy.
- Ethics Rule-of-Law Evidence-Data-Based Regulatory Compliance.
- World Glocal (Global and Local) Ethical Analysis and Methods Development.
- Cultural-Rights-Based Cultural Diplomacy Analysis and Projects Development.
- Open Education and Open Pedagogy Instructional Design.
- Sustainable Development Organizational DevSecOps Open Governance.
- Semantic Web Ontological Architecture and Inter-Ontological Alignment.
- Compliant Computer Algorithms, Data, Softwares, Machine Learning (ML), and Artificial Intelligence (AI) Regulation and Development.
- Individual Certifications and Institutional Accreditations.

1.2. All Science Journal Classifications (ASJC):

- Analysis (2603)
- Care Planning (2904)
- Community and Home Care (2905)
- Computer Science Applications (1706)
- Control and Optimization (2606)
- Cultural Studies (3316)
- Demography (3317)
- Education (3304)
- General Decision Sciences (1800)
- General Environmental Science (2300)
- General Social Sciences (3300)
- Geography, Planning and Development (3305)
- Global and Planetary Change (2306)
- Human Computer Interaction (1709)
- Human Factors (3307)
- Information Systems (1710)
- Issues, Ethics and Legal Aspects (2910)
- Library and Information Sciences (3309)
- Life-span and Life-course Studies (3319)
- Management Science and Operations Research (1803)
- Management, Monitoring, Policy and Law (2308)
- Media Technology (2214)
- Political Science and International Relations (3320)
- Research and Theory (2922)
- Safety Research (3311)
- Safety, Risk, Reliability and Quality (2213)
- Sociology (3312)
- Software (1712)
- Statistics and Probability (2613)
- Strategy and Management (1408)

1.3. Cooperative Patent Classifications (CPC):

- Devices, Apparatus or Methods for Life-Saving (A62B)
- Human Necessities (A)
- Information & Communication Technologies Impact Other Technologies (Y04)
- Life-Saving (A62)

1.4. Open Science Methodology:

1.4.1. Rule of law development of a regulatory compliance database, the Open Ethics Compliance Database (OECDb), composed of, licensed for public use, international charters of principles, codes of conduct, and guidelines.

1.4.2. Academic peer review by recognized specialist scholars, along qualitative research and big-data-analysis, of this database's documents' legal and ethical values, vocabularies presence, and usages.

1.4.3. Establishment of the Common Good Organization (CoGO), in compliance with transparent accountable audited open governance, for the invention's coherent safeguard, research, projects implementation, and promotion.

1.4.4. Establishment of an evidence data based ethical principles hierarchy and open source methodology to categorize the international charters of the database, according to their rule of law compliance dependencies and usefulness for the planetary common good.

1.4.5. Processes and products share in free libre copyleft, or open source, licenses.

1.4.6. Development of transparent open peer-reviewing standards and guidelines.

1.4.7. Development of an online platform with our open source science research disclosure and other projects open for community participation.

1.4.8. Curatorship of more compliant charters along their full disclosure, selected or not, concerning the processes, documents, and technologies used.

1.4.9. Development of semantic web controlled vocabularies of listed documents most recurring common values, as a whole and in each different category.

1.4.10. Development of techno-philanthropy consultancy based on our researches.

1.4.11. Continued learning through qualitative and quantitative analysis of available international regulatory compliances, training courses, individual certifications, and institutional accreditations for our team and platform users.

1.4.12. Development of new rule of law compliant evidence-data-based guidelines for different sustainable development procedures ethical processes.

1.4.13. Development of rule of law compliant evidence-data-based pedagogical guides for the ethical guidelines, ours and third-party.

1.4.14. Qualitative research and quantitative big-data analysis of common good projects along their need cultural diplomacy rule of law regulatory compliance implementation with health and welfare for everyone involved.

1.4.15. Development of a semantic web upper ontology concerning the rule-of-law compliant usage and governance.

1.4.16. Development of semantic web domain-specific ontologies.

1.4.17. Development of semantic web inter-ontological alignment frameworks.

1.4.18. Development of semantic web ontology based softwares, machine learning (ML) and artificial intelligence (AI) technologies to base computational applications, softwares, and platforms.

1.4.19. Development of rule-of-law individual certification programs.

1.4.20. Development of rule-of-law institutional accreditation programs.

1.4.21. Development of nonviolence rule-of-law secular interfaith advocacy projects and programs for the common good through the world ethical charters based compliance.

1.4.22. Complete publishing of all our own accountability and auditorship data, including all of our projects and programs.

1.5. Open Ethics Compliance Database (OECDb) Categories:

1.5.1. Organizations: Including inter-governmental organizations (IGOs), international non-governmental organizations (INGOs), public government agencies, local non-governmental organizations (NGOs), nonprofit philanthropies, and civil movements initiatives as long as they comply with public international law (PIL) minimum standards of legal formal institutionalization.

1.5.1.1. Peace culture, pacification, peacebuilding, peacekeeping, peacemaking, and peace education organizations.

1.5.1.2. Legal and governance organizations.

1.5.1.3. Humanitarian aid, animal aid, social solidarity economy (SSE), and ethical banking organizations.

1.5.1.4. Open science, open data, open educational resources (OER), and open education organizations.

1.5.1.5. Heritage and galleries libraries archives and museums (GLAMs) organizations.

1.5.1.6. Interfaith diplomacy, cultural diplomacy, and justice equity diversity inclusion (JEDI) organizations.

1.5.1.7. Ecology, welfare, logistics, and sustainable development organizations.

1.5.2. Charters: Including the most respected organizations' responsibility controlled democratic statutory constitutions, obligatory bylaw policies and voluntarily accepted recommendations as long as they do not deny the rights of any of the other charters and comply to the previous category.

1.5.3. Compliances: Including institutional accreditations and individual certifications themselves in rule of law (RoL) compliance with the previous categories charters and organizations.

1.5.4. Researches: Including open access academic journals, think tanks, library information science (LIS) databases, newspapers, magazines, fanzines, and collective publishing websites as long as they fully comply with the previous categories.

1.5.5. Heritages: Including news, inventions, places of memory, sacred natural sites, religious heritage, natural heritage, cultural heritage, memorials, artworks, arts crafts, artisany, and creations in full compliance with all the previous categories.

1.5.6. Opportunities: Including free and undemanding funds, grants, scholarships, fellowships, endorsers, patrons, sponsors, promoters, courses, workshops, and events calendars in full compliance with the previous categories.

1.5.7. Tools: Including free libre open source softwares (FLOSSs), machine learning (MLs), algorithms, artificial intelligences (AIs), grey literature paperwork toolboxes, and bureaucratic daily life tweaks, and logistics facilitators as long as fully in compliance with the previous categories.

2. Prior Art Analysis:

2.1. Prior Art Prerequisites:

The Open Ethics Compliance Database (OECDb) Compliance (OEIC) is Urgent. To prove that; based on evidences and data, in compliance with rule of law and the scientific method; I will present how; from the variety of regulatory compliances of the most respected international institutions; created through each of their specific sets of principles and glocal settings diversity; as present in their official, free for public use, constitutions, bylaws, standards, codes of conducts, and guidelines documents.

By Presenting the Invention's Prior Art from its entrepreneurial ecosystem's best mode exemplars I want to avoid any industrial secret conflicts with them, summarize their objective technical limitations, and synthesize the invention's unique, unprecedented, novel, and inventively non-obvious methodology so presenting its beneficial advantageous effects for the common good along with the improvements it makes available for all industrial ecosystems as a whole, through its patented subject matter embodiments.

2.2. Prior Art Analysis Scope:

The Open Ethics Compliance Database (OECDb) Research started as an open science analytical project on the invention's prior art; necessary because I couldn't find an open methodology to establish dialogue and cooperation between the vast diversity of global international institutions he works with in the fields of peace culture, rule of law promotion, humanitarian aid, social service, solidarity economy, open source software, open science, open education, heritage safeguard, social ecomuseology, art curatorship, cultural diplomacy, secular interfaith diplomacy, diversity equitable inclusivity (DEI), and ecological sustainable development. Because of the magnitude of the invention, we will need to analyze only some of the best prior art exemplars in all these fields.

2.3. Prior Art Analysis:

The Open Ethics Compliance Database (OECDb) Novelty was acquired due to its inventive, non-obvious to those skilled in its pertinent fields, assemblage of the best free libre open source solutions (FLOSS) of his industrial prior arts:

- [The International Bar Association \(IBA\) Rule-of-Law \(RoL\) Directory](#), although having developed a database where the users may add juridical institutions and lawyers working to promote rule of law, never shared regulatory compliance methodologies on the issue nor on ethical charters.

- [The World Ethics Organization \(WEO\)](#), although having developed a methodology for philanthropic organizations governance, never developed any for world ethical common values regulatory compliance analysis or creation.

- [The International Committee of the Red Cross \(ICRC\)](#), although having developed the "International Humanitarian Law (IHL)" and implemented it in the imprescindible safeguard of nonviolence and peacebuilding in war situations, never developed peace culture nor humanitarian social service culture promotion programs because of the lack of regulatory compliance on these issues.

- [The World Federalism Movement \(WFM\)](#), although having developed "The Earth Constitution" and "Institute For Global Policy (IGP) Charter" for international regulatory compliance implementation methodology, never developed the necessary methodology for an international common values ethical principle charters collaborative analysis.

- [The United Nations \(UN\)](#), although having developed a system of rule of law nonviolence peacebuilding compliant organizations to promote the "Universal Declaration of Human Rights (UDHR)" as world's first international principles charter and releasing statements defending principles; even having developed a research on "The World's Top Universal Concerns", through its Department of Global Communications (DGC), and stating to "protect and promote global values" and to "foster inclusion and transparency" in its "Secretary General's Strategy on New Technologies" charter; because it is an emergencial rescue and advisory system but not a legislative body; never developed a regulatory compliance methodology for ethical issues based on international charters.

- [The International Criminal Court \(ICCT\)](#), although having developed regulatory compliance jurisprudence methodologies for litigations between individuals and legal entities from different countries, never developed a world common values principles charter, even less a database of such.

- [The International Civil Rights Movements](#) many institutions, although having developed hundreds of imprescindible ethical emergencial rescue programs and principles safeguard advocacy charters, never developed rule of law regulatory compliance implementation public methodologies, not even for their own works, even less any sort of charters compilation and analysis.

- [The Nonviolence International \(NVI\)](#), along many other peace culture institutions; although having developed guidelines, such as the "Nonviolence Tactics Guide", to try to make civil rights movements more compliant to rule of law; never developed any catalog of nonviolence in world's charters.

- [The Interfaith Diplomacy Movement](#) many respectable institutions, although having developed a series of ethical principles charters and guidelines through more than a century of international cooperation, that includes secular accountable peer-review and auditorship, never developed an ethical regulatory compliances implementation methodology for its own worldwide works, even less including secular ethical charters.

- [The Free Software Foundation \(FSF\)](#), although having developed the GNU non-institutional free-libre General Public License (GPL); never developed any ethical compliance methodologies for open technologies.

- [The Open Source Initiative \(OSI\)](#), as other domain-specific educational and regulatory compliance institutions; although having developed libraries of open source licenses that served as jurisprudence precedent for diamond open access to knowledge and developing individual certifications and institutional accreditations, in compliance with international rule of law; never developed methodologies for ethical licensing nor interdisciplinary ethical alignments principles methodologies, not even for computational DevSecOps.

- [The Open Group](#), although having developed The Open Group Architecture Framework (TOGAF) standard for enterprise architecture; never developed rule of law regulatory compliance governance methodologies; not even for open source. open governance. open law. or open compliance; nor has created any codes-of-conducts or ethical guidelines development methodologies.

- [The World Bank Open Knowledge Repository](#), as many other ethics-based diamond open access libraries and universities; although having developed some of the best ethical guidelines for practical implementation of humanitarian solidarity service projects, that point to different institutions supplying individual certifications and institutional accreditations; never developed regulatory compliance standards for rule of law world common values ethics nor methodologies for such.

- [The Transparency International \(TI\)](#), although having developed many rule-of-law governmental civil auditorship and informational disclosure methodologies; never developed any ethical transparency standards charter, guidelines, nor methodology.

- [The Accountable Now International](#), although having developed standards for organizational accountability and auditorship, never developed methodologies or technologies to include ethical compliance in these.

- [The Amnesty International](#), although having developed the "International Non Governmental Organizations Accountability Charter" and many other projects for ethics safeguard, never developed a world ethical charters based methodology or platform.

- [The Avaaz Platform](#), although having developed a technology for online civil movements advocacy demonstrations, never developed a public database of its charters, even less published its decision-making ethical principles or procedures methodologies.
- [The Wikimedia Movement](#), although having developed many methodologies for free online educational resources open governance rule-of-law regulatory compliance, including the creation and implementation of codes-of-conducts; never developed rule of law ethics specific public repositories, technologies, nor methodologies for ethical compliance implementation, of anything like a 'Wikiethics' or 'Wikilaw' platform; even less based on world ethical charters and guidelines common values analysis.
- [The Worldwide Initiatives for Grantmaker Support \(WINGS\)](#), as other nonprofit and endowment promotion institutions; although having developed methodologies and guidelines for philanthropic rating; never developed a world ethical charters based rule of law regulatory compliance nor any methodology.
- [The Effective Altruism Movement](#), as other international actions for the common good ethics regulatory compliance research institutions, although having developed many global-evidence-data-based methodologies for specific local urgent problems, never developed a world ethical charters based methodology for global conflicts resolution.
- [The World Wide Web Consortium \(W3C\)](#), although having developed the semantic web ontology framework for metadata inter-institutional analysis, never developed ethical regulatory compliance implementation technologies for the internet based on it nor methodologies for this purpose.
- [The Internet Governance Forum \(IGF\)](#), although having developed civil landmarks for national regulation on internet usage, never developed ethical-based technologies for regulatory compliance of the internet nor methodologies for this purpose.
- [The Center for Open Science \(COS\)](#), although having developed the Open Science Framework (OSF) for pre-registering researches to improve their auditorship, never developed ethical principles of scientific inquiry standards nor methodologies, not even developed semantic web ontology compliance, even less based on world international accepted ethical charters.
- [The Lens Search Engine](#), although having developed an algorithm to search for open source patents, never developed one for international ethical standards charters.
- [The United Nations Education, Science, and Culture Organization \(UNESCO\)](#), although having developed many programs to protect the heritage of humanity since its "Röerich Peace Culture Pact", never developed a common values charter of principles, methodologies, nor a world standard for education, science, culture, and media institutions to comply with international rule of law.
- [The Creative Commons \(CC\)](#), although having developed licenses for creative industry and art labor copyright conflict resolution and open justice initiatives, never developed ethical licensing for fair use regulatory compliance nor any methodology for these purposes.
- [The International Council of Museums \(ICOM\)](#), although having developed many imprescindible guidelines, regulatory compliance implementation frameworks, and projects for the safeguard of the heritage of humanity through culture; never developed charters or methodologies neither for international ethical cultural rights, nor cultural diplomacy, nor art markets regulatory compliance.
- [The Digital Labour Institute \(DigiLabour\)](#), although having developed many researches on platform economy cooperativism, never developed any guideline or ethical standards for its compliance, even less databases for these purposes.

- [The Latin-American Network of Art Workers \(RLATA\)](#), although having developed many documents templates for labor contracts, never developed standards or methodologies for art, as a cultural diplomacy, development ethical conduct.
- [The Motion Picture Content Rating System](#) many initiatives, although having developed many different classification methods, never developed a full cultural ethical rule of law regulatory compliance charter, methodology, nor guidelines based on international common values analysis.
- [The Institute for Cultural Diplomacy \(ICD\)](#), although having developed many methodologies for cultural diplomacy and its education, never developed any public ethical rule of law regulatory compliance charter, methodology, nor guidelines based on international common values analysis.
- [The Fair Trade International \(FTI\)](#), as many international labor categories unions, although having developed guidelines and certifications for labor justice and ethical standards, never developed an overall standard for corporate ethical conduct based on international rule of law regulatory compliance, nor any methodology for this purpose.
- [The Twelve Steps Program Groups \(AA, NA, N/A\)](#), as other temperance movements; although having developed faith-based harm-reduction principles charters, codes of conduct based on these, governance guidelines based on the previous, and educational methodologies; never developed ethical principles charters or guidelines nor rule of law regulatory compliance implementation methodologies, even less based on international ethics charters.
- [The Greater Good Science](#), although having developed researches on the roots of happy and compassionate action, which they present as principles for their courses; due to leaving out of their approach to science any global social welfare issues; never developed any regulatory compliance basement for their health principles on international rule of law regulatory compliance.
- [The Chaplaincy Innovation Lab \(CIL\)](#), and other secular interfaith health and welfare educational certification institutes, although having developed standards on psycho-physical care, never developed any public guidelines nor world rule of law regulatory compliance implementation methodology on the theme, even less based on international charters.
- [The Good Faith Partnership](#), although having developed the Virtues of Faith local public opinion qualitative research report on common values among religious institutions, never developed international qualitative and quantitative big data analysis based research on world ethical rule of law regulatory compliance, nor its methodologies, in compliance with the interfaith movement; even less including secular charters.
- [The Institute of Physics \(IOP\) Publishing](#), as many universities, research institutes, and academic journals publishing companies; although having developed methodologies for scientific inquiry regulatory compliance through peer review excellence; never developed international qualitative and quantitative big data analysis based research on world ethical rule of law regulatory compliance, nor methodologies for its applications in peer-review.
- [The Extropy Institute](#), as other health prolonging research centers, although making remarkable discoveries on possible medical implementations, never developed any methodology for researching the implications of ethics in health or welfare, even less for its rule of law regulatory compliance.
- [The Deep Knowledge Group](#), although having developed Philanthropy International and other health and technological methodologies, databases of institutions and funds, algorithms, machine learning (ML) procedures, and artificial intelligences (AI); never developed any of these for ethical rule of law regulatory compliance, nor methodologies for these purposes, even less based on international common values qualitative research and big data analysis altogether.

- [The International Compliance Association \(ICA\)](#), although having developed many educational methodologies, never developed public standards or guidelines for the area.
- [The Open Invention Network \(OIN\)](#), although having developed a methodology to open the source code and guidelines of technological patents necessary for the common good; never developed any such program for ethical issues.
- [The Open Data Institute \(ODI\)](#), and other data regulations research institutions; although having developed guidelines for the regulatory compliance of the technical issues of computational development, including their corporate governance; never developed a public implementation program methodology for these as ethical processes, nor their implications in the DevSecOps of algorithms, machine learning (ML), nor artificial intelligence (AI), even less based on international rule-of-law regulatory compliance.
- [The National Religious Broadcast \(NRB\)](#), although having developed nation-level policymaking rule of law advocacy for ethics based regulatory compliance; never developed a world ethics charter nor rule of law regulatory compliance methodologies.
- [The Archive for Religious Data Association \(ARDA\)](#), and other censuses-based data-analysis repositories, although having developed many hierarchies of ethical principles, never developed any based on international charters, even less including secular ethics ones.
- [The Harvard Tribal Justice System Toolkit](#), although having developed a rule of law regulatory compliance methodology; only did it based on local ethical principles, charters, and guidelines; never developed a methodology based worldwide charters for open justice, even less for other fields such as cultural diplomacy or interfaith diplomacy.
- [The United Nations \(UN\) Sustainable Development Goals \(SDGs\) Program](#), although having developed many planetary ethical goals and sub-goals , with their guidelines for green logistics in every industrial ecosystem, as the UNESCO open educational resources (OER) recommendation, in full conformance with diversity equity inclusivity (DEI); never developed a world common values research on ethical principles sustainable development regulatory compliance methodology.
- [The World Intellectual Property Organization \(WIPO\)](#) itself, apart from patenting itself, never developed public world ethical principles standards.

2.4. Prior Art Ex Post Facto Impermissible Hindsight Analysis:

Open Ethics Compliance Database (OECDb) Composition is made of many different, free for public use open source, methodologies and technologies of its prior art, developed through centuries of international solidarity services for the common good; which includes the very patent examiner. Since the invention's mission is the very safeguard and promotion of its prior art along all their official documents through open source scientific, educational, cultural, and technological projects that will bring several facilitation and promotional possibilities to them all. we believe the safe, transparent, and fair publication of the invention will be viewed by its prior art as both, an inevitable natural unfolding of their own works under rule of law (RoL) and as an overall benefit for humankind and planetary ecology regulatory compliance.

2.5. Prior Art Analysis Conclusion:

Whereas the Open Ethics Compliance Database (OECDb) and its Open Ethics Compliance (OEC) honorable previous art, is imprescindible for our world and had inspired my invention but have never developed anything near to this unique and unprecedentedly novel invention which engineers all their inventions in a very specific and precise manner.

Whereas all the prior art technologies used are licensed for free public use and none of them got any close to engineering more than their own best practices and methodologies.

Whereas we have no other world public ethical rule of law (RoL) non-governmental regulatory compliance methodology in the world for ethical processes based on international, secular and interfaith, principles charters, institutional constitutions, organizational governance bylaws, and peer-reviewed guidelines.

Whereas we have no ethical computational framework for algorithmic softwares, machine learning (ML), nor artificial intelligence (AI).

Whereas we don't have any institution working in analysis that encompass worldwide nonviolence, peace culture, humanitarian aid, social service, solidarity economy, open source science access education, cultural diplomacy, ecomuseology, heritage safeguard, secular interfaith intercultural, health welfare, sustainable development, diversity equity inclusivity, and environmental social governance ethics altogether.

Therefore I disclose this non-obvious invention under the Open Science Framework (OSF) to those most skilled in the pertinent prior art worldwide, whether individuals or institutions, to make my role in its discovery further verifiable.

3. Open Ethics Compliance Beneficial Effects:

The Open Ethics Compliance Database (OECDb) Based Compliance (OEIC) is a Benefit for the Whole World because it allows new transparent open source ways of analyzing ethical processes to allow more humane and healthy technologies for the development of individuals, relations, communities, and organizations. This happens because its rule of law international charters evidential data based analysis provides a social responsibility reliability engineering framework for the global development of auditable new standards of populations' policymaking, health welfare, cultural projects, corporative architecture, environmental social governance (ESG), civil participation, and other data acquisition by assisting in the implementation of regulatory compliance.

3.1. Open Ethics Compliance Minimum Viable Products (MVP):

The Common Good Organization (CoGO) was established to research and analyze the Open Ethics Compliance (OEC) and its database from more than 250 policies' vocabularies using the Open Ethics Compliance Database (OEdb) have developed in the Common Good Charter (CoGC) our peer-reviewed hierarchical thesaurus of priorities, the Common Good Principles (CoGP). These universal common values are obligations for all developments of the invention, so that they be solely for the planetary global common good, in the most vast rule of law compliant way, to avoid any possible danger from the invention to the global community, in special the prior art and industrial ecosystem. This ethical MVP allowed us to assist a multitude of the prior art institutions for several years, with some international awards, and to develop various other open knowledge databases, secular interfaith cultural diplomacy projects, courses syllabi, and open educational resources (OER) that have been of utmost importance for many endangered communities; this way already having supported, safeguarded, and promoted works for many imprescindible areas for the common good internationally.

3.2. Open Ethics Compliance Development Framework:

To Research, Safeguard, Implement, and Promote the Open Ethics Compliance (OEC) and its derivative projects; in compliance with its prior art and Common Good Principles (CoGP); I found imprescindible to coherently only use only open source technologies, sharing its processes and methodologies as much as possible under free-libre copyleft licenses, which shall promote new industrial ecosystems, solidarity markets, social enterprises, civil sustainable development projects, and compliant cultural businesses. This way, Open Ethics Compliance (OEC) is also a tool for further researching, safeguarding, developing, and promoting the open source industrial ecosystem in a massively scale, including: open infra, open science, open education, open heritage, open justice, open ethics, open data, open governance, open hardware, open cities, open philanthropy, open access, open innovation, open governments, open societies, etc.

4. Open Ethics Compliance Industrial Applications:

4.1. Nonconflict of Interest:

The Open Ethics Compliance (OEC) Holds No Conflicts of Interests most honorable previous art; having developed the utmost imprescindible technologies to implement our world ethics governance through international rule of law regulatory compliance that assist in the development of the invention's unique and unprecedented novelty; led me to commit it along the Open Ethics Compliance Database (OECDB), the Common Good Organization (CoGO), and any future derivative projects from them to:

- Never pursue any sort of litigation due to conflict of interests with any prior art or other industry or ecosystem, always searching for alternative open source paths of development;
- Develop all inventions' processes and products, as well as sharing them, as much as possible, under free-libre copyleft licenses, and whenever this is not possible to do, at least in transparent audited and accountable open source;
- Fully transparent audited accountable development of the CoGO through collaborative participative open governance;
- The use of the invention's future developments and every derivatives of it, process or product, solely for the purpose of the planetary global common good, as best as possible.

4.2. Risk-Mitigating Safeguard Necessary for the Invention:

The Open Ethics Compliance (OEC) Risks several dangers if its technologies for ethical compliance are allowed to be used for non-democratic, violent, or selfish purposes that don't take into account the planetary global common good; if not properly regulated to avoid it becoming a monopoly or trust; because it, as a whole or through the unregulated use of its prior art methodologies, may be remixed, rearranged, or retro-engineered with hazardous consequences for the whole planet sustainable ecological and economical systems, international diplomacy, governments, industrial ecosystems, civil rights organizations, communities, families, and individuals. Because of this, the invention's communication to its prior art and other world authorities is urgently needed, as soon as possible; at least for them to prepare to respond to any similar technology that may be being developed for unethical purposes, perhaps in secrecy, which could shutdown specific industries or communities.

Bibliography:

CATHY O'NEIL. "How Big Data Increases Inequality and Threatens Democracy". Crown.
GRY HASSELBALCH ET ALL. "Data Ethics". Internet Society.
JOEL GURIN. "Open Data Now". McGraw-Hill Education.
LAWRENCE CORR. "Agile Data Warehouse Design". Addison-Wesley.
MIKE LOUKIDES ET ALL. "Ethics and Data Science". O'Reilly Media.
PASCAL HITZLER ET ALL. "Foundations of Semantic Web Technologies". CRC Press.
PAT MORIN. "Open Data Structures". CreateSpace Independent Publishing.
PAULRAJ PONNIAH. "Data Warehousing Fundamentals for IT Professionals". Wiley.
RALPH KIMBALL ET ALL. "The Data Warehouse Toolkit". Wiley.
ROB KITCHIN. "The Data Revolution". Sage Publications.
ROCHELLE TRACTENBERG. "Ethical Practice of Statistics and Data Science". Ethics Press.
STEFAAN VERHULST ET ALL. "The Global Impact of Open Data". O'Reilly Media.
SUSAN DAVIDSON. "Controlled Vocabularies: Principles & Practice". Libraries Unlimited.
FELIPE RIBEIRO. 'Complete Works'. <https://operarioribeiro.gitbook.io>.

f R

Licensed under [Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial Share-Alike \(CC BY-NC-SA\) 4.0](#) along [Ethical Source Do No Harm Hippocratic License 3.0](#) and [Contributor Covenant](#) through the [European Union Public License \(EUPL\) Interoperability Downstream Compatibility](#) as an [United Nations Education Science Culture Organization \(UNESCO\) 2019 Recommendation](#) compliant [Open Educational Resources \(OER\)](#) for Nonviolent Nonprofit Open Science Fair Use.



HL3

